## Senate Bill No. 580

| Passed the Senate | June 28, 2010                     |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                   |                                   |
|                   |                                   |
|                   | Secretary of the Senate           |
|                   |                                   |
|                   |                                   |
| Passed the Assemb | oly June 21, 2010                 |
|                   |                                   |
|                   | Chief Clerk of the Assembly       |
|                   | Chief Cherk of the Historioty     |
|                   |                                   |
| This bill was rec | ceived by the Governor this day   |
| of                | , 2010, at o'clockм.              |
|                   |                                   |
|                   |                                   |
|                   | Private Secretary of the Governor |

 $SB 580 \qquad \qquad -2-$ 

## CHAPTER \_\_\_\_\_

An act to amend Sections 3751, 4061, 4063, and 17422 of the Family Code, relating to child support.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 580, Wright. Child support: health insurance.

Existing law provides that in a case in which an amount is set for child support, the court is required to order either or both parties to provide health insurance coverage for the supported child, if the cost of the insurance is reasonable. Under existing law, health insurance is rebuttably presumed to be reasonable in cost if it is employment-related or other group health insurance.

This bill would instead require that the court determine that the cost of health insurance is reasonable if it does not exceed 5% of the obligor's gross income, as specified, unless the obligor is entitled to a low-income adjustment, as defined, in which case the court would be required to determine that the cost of health insurance is not reasonable, unless the court determines that not requiring medical support would be unjust and inappropriate.

Existing law provides that when either parent uses a health care provider other than the preferred provider designated by the health care insurance coverage, the parent obtaining that care is solely responsible for any nonreimbursable health care costs in excess of the costs that would have been incurred under the court-ordered health care insurance coverage had the preferred provider been used. Existing law requires a court, in evaluating a motion based on this provision, to consider the geographic access and reasonable availability of necessary health care for the child which complies with the terms of the health care insurance coverage paid for by either parent pursuant to a court order.

This bill would require the court to apply a rebuttable presumption that health care insurance is accessible if medical services to be provided are within 50 miles of the residence of the supported child. The bill would require the court, if it determines that health care insurance is not accessible, to state the reason on the record.

-3- SB 580

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 3751 of the Family Code is amended to read:

- 3751. (a) (1) Support orders issued or modified pursuant to this chapter shall include a provision requiring the child support obligor to keep the agency designated under Title IV-D of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 651 et seq.) informed of whether the obligor has health insurance coverage at a reasonable cost and, if so, the health insurance policy information.
- (2) In any case in which an amount is set for current support, the court shall require that health insurance coverage for a supported child shall be maintained by either or both parents if that insurance is available at no cost or at a reasonable cost to the parent. Health insurance coverage shall be rebuttably presumed to be reasonable in cost if the cost to the responsible parent providing medical support does not exceed 5 percent of his or her gross income. In applying the 5 percent for the cost of health insurance, the cost is the difference between self-only and family coverage. If the obligor is entitled to a low-income adjustment as provided in paragraph (7) of subdivision (b) of Section 4055, medical support shall be deemed not reasonable, unless the court determines that not requiring medical support would be unjust and inappropriate in the particular case. If the court determines that the cost of health insurance coverage is not reasonable, the court shall state its reasons on the record. If the court determines that, although the obligor is entitled to a low-income adjustment, not requiring medical support would be unjust and inappropriate, the court shall state its reasons on the record.
- (b) If the court determines that health insurance coverage is not available at no cost or at a reasonable cost, the court's order for support shall contain a provision that specifies that health insurance coverage shall be obtained if it becomes available at no cost or at a reasonable cost. Upon health insurance coverage at no cost or at a reasonable cost becoming available to a parent, the parent shall apply for that coverage.
- (c) The court's order for support shall require the parent who, at the time of the order or subsequently, provides health insurance coverage for a supported child to seek continuation of coverage for the child upon attainment of the limiting age for a dependent

SB 580 —4—

child under the health insurance coverage if the child meets the criteria specified under Section 1373 of the Health and Safety Code or Section 10277 or 10278 of the Insurance Code and that health insurance coverage is available at no cost or at a reasonable cost to the parent or parents, as applicable.

- SEC. 2. Section 4061 of the Family Code is amended to read: 4061. The amounts in Section 4062 shall be considered additional support for the children and shall be computed in accordance with the following:
- (a) If there needs to be an apportionment of expenses pursuant to Section 4062, the expenses shall be divided one-half to each parent, unless either parent requests a different apportionment pursuant to subdivision (b) and presents documentation which demonstrates that a different apportionment would be more appropriate.
- (b) If requested by either parent, and the court determines it is appropriate to apportion expenses under Section 4062 other than one-half to each parent, the apportionment shall be as follows:
- (1) The basic child support obligation shall first be computed using the formula set forth in subdivision (a) of Section 4055, as adjusted for any appropriate rebuttal factors in subdivision (b) of Section 4057.
- (2) Any additional child support required for expenses pursuant to Section 4062 shall thereafter be ordered to be paid by the parents in proportion to their net disposable incomes as adjusted pursuant to subdivisions (c) and (d).
- (c) In cases where spousal support is or has been ordered to be paid by one parent to the other, for purposes of allocating additional expenses pursuant to Section 4062, the gross income of the parent paying spousal support shall be decreased by the amount of the spousal support paid and the gross income of the parent receiving the spousal support shall be increased by the amount of the spousal support received for as long as the spousal support order is in effect and is paid.
- (d) For purposes of computing the adjusted net disposable income of the parent paying child support for allocating any additional expenses pursuant to Section 4062, the net disposable income of the parent paying child support shall be reduced by the amount of any basic child support ordered to be paid under subdivision (a) of Section 4055. However, the net disposable

\_5\_ SB 580

income of the parent receiving child support shall not be increased by any amount of child support received.

- SEC. 3. Section 4063 of the Family Code is amended to read: 4063. (a) When making an order pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 4062, the court shall:
- (1) Advise each parent, in writing or on the record, of his or her rights and liabilities, including financial responsibilities.
- (2) Include in its order the time period for a parent to reimburse the other parent for the reimbursing parent's share of the reasonable additional child support costs subject to the requirements of this section.
- (b) Unless there has been an assignment of rights pursuant to Section 11477 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, when either parent accrues or pays costs pursuant to an order under this section, that parent shall provide the other parent with an itemized statement of the costs within a reasonable time, but not more than 30 days after accruing the costs. These costs shall then be paid as follows:
- (1) If a parent has already paid all of these costs, that parent shall provide proof of payment and a request for reimbursement of his or her court-ordered share to the other parent.
- (2) If a parent has paid his or her court-ordered share of the costs only, that parent shall provide proof of payment to the other parent, request the other parent to pay the remainder of the costs directly to the provider, and provide the reimbursing parent with any necessary information about how to make the payment to the provider.
- (3) The other parent shall make the reimbursement or pay the remaining costs within the time period specified by the court, or, if no period is specified, within a reasonable time not to exceed 30 days from notification of the amount due, or according to any payment schedule set by the health care provider for either parent unless the parties agree in writing to another payment schedule or the court finds good cause for setting another payment schedule.
- (4) If the reimbursing parent disputes a request for payment, that parent shall pay the requested amount and thereafter may seek judicial relief under this section and Section 290. If the reimbursing parent fails to pay the other parent as required by this subdivision, the other parent may seek judicial relief under this section and Section 290.

 $SB 580 \qquad \qquad -6-$ 

- (c) Either parent may file a noticed motion to enforce an order issued pursuant to this section. In addition to the court's powers under Section 290, the court may award filing costs and reasonable attorney's fees if it finds that either party acted without reasonable cause regarding his or her obligations pursuant to this section.
- (d) There is a rebuttable presumption that the costs actually paid for the uninsured health care needs of the children are reasonable, except as provided in subdivision (e).
  - (e) Except as provided in subdivision (g):
- (1) The health care insurance coverage, including, but not limited to, coverage for emergency treatment, provided by a parent pursuant to a court order, shall be the coverage to be utilized at all times, consistent with the requirements of that coverage, unless the other parent can show that the health care insurance coverage is inadequate to meet the child's needs.
- (2) If either parent obtains health care insurance coverage in addition to that provided pursuant to the court order, that parent shall bear sole financial responsibility for the costs of that additional coverage and the costs of any care or treatment obtained pursuant thereto in excess of the costs that would have been incurred under the health care insurance coverage provided for in the court order.
  - (f) Except as provided in subdivision (g):
- (1) If the health care insurance coverage provided by a parent pursuant to a court order designates a preferred health care provider, that preferred provider shall be used at all times, consistent with the terms and requirements of that coverage.
- (2) If either parent uses a health care provider other than the preferred provider inconsistent with the terms and requirements of the court-ordered health care insurance coverage, the parent obtaining that care shall bear the sole responsibility for any nonreimbursable health care costs in excess of the costs that would have been incurred under the court-ordered health care insurance coverage had the preferred provider been used.
- (g) When ruling on a motion made pursuant to this section, in order to ensure that the health care needs of the child under this section are met, the court shall consider all relevant facts, including, but not limited to, the following:
- (1) The geographic access and reasonable availability of necessary health care for the child which complies with the terms

\_\_7\_\_ SB 580

of the health care insurance coverage paid for by either parent pursuant to a court order. Health insurance shall be rebuttably presumed to be accessible if services to be provided are within 50 miles of the residence of the child subject to the support order. If the court determines that health insurance is not accessible, the court shall state the reason on the record.

- (2) The necessity of emergency medical treatment that may have precluded the use of the health care insurance, or the preferred health care provider required under the insurance, provided by either parent pursuant to a court order.
  - (3) The special medical needs of the child.
- (4) The reasonable inability of a parent to pay the full amount of reimbursement within a 30-day period and the resulting necessity for a court-ordered payment schedule.
- SEC. 4. Section 17422 of the Family Code is amended to read: 17422. (a) The state medical insurance form required in Article 1 (commencing with Section 3750) of Chapter 7 of Part 1 of Division 9 shall include, but shall not be limited to, all of the following:
- (1) The parent or parents' names, addresses, and social security numbers.
  - (2) The name and address of each parent's place of employment.
- (3) The name or names, addresses, policy number or numbers, and coverage type of the medical insurance policy or policies of the parents, if any.
- (4) The name, CalWORKs case number, social security number, and Title IV-E foster care case number or Medi-Cal case numbers of the parents and children covered by the medical insurance policy or policies.
- (b) (1) In any action brought or enforcement proceeding instituted by the local child support agency under this division for payment of child or spousal support, a completed state medical insurance form shall be obtained and sent by the local child support agency to the State Department of Health Services in the manner prescribed by the State Department of Health Services.
- (2) Where it has been determined under Section 3751 that health insurance coverage is not available at no or reasonable cost, the local child support agency shall seek a provision in the support order that provides for health insurance coverage should it become available at no or reasonable cost.

SB 580 —8—

- (3) Health insurance coverage shall be considered reasonable in cost if the cost to the responsible parent providing medical support does not exceed 5 percent of his or her gross income. In applying the 5 percent for the cost of health insurance, the cost is the difference between self-only and family coverage. If the obligor is entitled to a low-income adjustment as provided in paragraph (7) of subdivision (b) of Section 4055, health insurance shall not be enforced, unless the court determines that not requiring medical support would be unjust and inappropriate in the particular case. As used in this section, "health insurance coverage" also includes providing for the delivery of health care services by a fee for service, health maintenance organization, preferred provider organization, or any other type of health care delivery system under which medical services could be provided to the dependent child or children of an absent parent.
- (c) (1) The local child support agency shall request employers and other groups offering health insurance coverage that is being enforced under this division to notify the local child support agency if there has been a lapse in insurance coverage. The local child support agency shall be responsible for forwarding information pertaining to the health insurance policy secured for the dependent children for whom the local child support agency is enforcing the court-ordered medical support to the custodial parent.
- (2) The local child support agency shall periodically communicate with the State Department of Health Services to determine if there have been lapses in health insurance coverage for public assistance applicants and recipients. The State Department of Health Services shall notify the local child support agency when there has been a lapse in court-ordered insurance coverage.
- (3) The local child support agency shall take appropriate action, civil or criminal, to enforce the obligation to obtain health insurance when there has been a lapse in insurance coverage or failure by the responsible parent to obtain insurance as ordered by the court.
- (4) The local child support agency shall inform all individuals upon their application for child support enforcement services that medical support enforcement services are available.

| Approved | , 2010   |
|----------|----------|
|          |          |
|          |          |
|          | Governor |